| Form PTO-1449 (Modified) | Atty Docket No. | Serial No. | | |
|---|----------------------|------------|--|--|
| LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT | YAMAP01013US | 10/597,859 | | |
| | Applicant: | | | |
| | Vishal GHOTGE et al. | | | |
| | Filing Date | Group | | |
| (Use several sheets if necessary) | August 10, 2006 | 2117 | | |

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Examiner Phung M. Chung

| Examiner Initial | Document Number | Date (MM/YYYY) | Name | Class | Sub- class | Filing Date if Appropriate | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------|-------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| Examiner Initial | Document Number | Date (MM/YYYY) | Country | Class | Sub- class | Translation Yes | (machine) No |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|---|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| /PC/ | 0490400 A1 | August 1992 | EP ' | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | ж | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

OTHER ART

| Examiner Initial | Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc. |
|---------------------|---|
| /PC/ | Office Action for corresponding European Application No. 05739075.9 dated February 26, 2010 |
| | |

| EXAMINER | /Phung Chung/ | DATE CONSIDERED | 06/07/2010 | |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|------------|--|
|----------|---------------|-----------------|------------|--|

EXAMINER Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449 (Modified)

The identification of any reference is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that such publication, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given reference may have a later effective date than first seems apparent or the reference may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any reference is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.